To: Avey, Lance[Avey.Lance@epa.gov]
From: Hawkins, Andy
Sent: Tue 3/1/2016 2:09:01 PM
Subject: RE: Ameren modeling information for Labadie

I would go to MCHISRS and search "merged". Below is one document, there are others.

https://cfpub.epa.gov/oarweb/MCHISRS/index.cfm?fuseaction=main.resultdetails&recnum=96-V -10

Exemption 5:
Deliberative

We just need to discuss on our call today. Leaving that to you.

Andy Hawkins

EPA Region 7

11201 Renner Boulevard

Lenexa, Kansas 66219

(913) 551-7179 office

hawkins.andy@epa.gov

From: Avey, Lance
Sent: Monday, February 29, 2016 2:58 PM
To: Hawkins, Andy <hawkins.andy@epa.gov>
Subject: FW: Ameren modeling information for Labadie

Any modeling guidance for merged stacks out there that you know about before I go searching?

Lance Avey

EPA Region 7

11201 Renner Boulevard

Lenexa, Kansas 66219

(913) 551-7809

avey.lance@epa.gov

From: Miller, Ken [mailto:kenneth.miller@wustl.edu]
Sent: Monday, February 29, 2016 2:28 PM
To: Avey, Lance <Avey.Lance@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: Ameren modeling information for Labadie

Lance,

With the recent release of EPA's response to the state's recommendation for Labadie and the 30-day public comment period on EPA's proposed nonattainment designation which looks like it will start tomorrow, I wanted to touch base regarding a couple of modeling questions. First, has EPA published any guidance on the standard vs. actual flows question? I'm particularly interested in whether it is acceptable for sources to use actual flows in their modeling, and if so, what is the "right" way to convert standard flows to actual flows. You've indicated before that as a general matter EPA is not opposed to the use of actual flows, so long as the temps used in the conversion are representative of actual temps at the stack tip, but more definitive guidance on the subject would be helpful if any is available. Second, has EPA published any guidance on merged stacks? I've looked before and could only find some really old information that was more about how to do it than whether/when it should be done. I've asked Emily before and she told me she wasn't aware of any either. Thanks.

Regards,

Ken

Ken Miller, P.G.

Environmental Scientist

Interdisciplinary Environmental Clinic

Washington University School of Law

One Brookings Drive - Campus Box 1120

St. Louis, MO 63130

314-935-6368 (phone)

314-935-5171 (fax)

kenneth.miller@wustl.edu

Please consider the environment before printing.

From: Avey, Lance [mailto:Avey.Lance@epa.gov]
Sent: Friday, January 15, 2016 9:36 AM
To: Miller, Ken
Subject: FW: Ameren modeling information for Labadie

Hi Ken,

I was going to pass this along to you earlier. But this will be good to know for our talk today.

Lance Avey

EPA Region 7

11201 Renner Boulevard

Lenexa, Kansas 66219

(913) 551-7809

avey.lance@epa.gov

Hi Lance,

This was one of the questions we had early on about using actual emissions data: fixed vs. standard vs. actual flows.   If there is a preference, please let us know for future reference.

Here is the information we obtained from Ameren about how the actual flows were calculated:

The flows used are those that are reported to the CAMD system. These flows are in standard cubic feet per hour (scfh) which represents a temperature of 68 Deg F. We converted these flows to actual cubic feet per hour (acfh) using actual measured temperature in the stack assuming constant pressure. That is

$$V_a = T_a * V_s / T_s$$

Where

V_a – acfh

V_s – scfh

T_a – actual stack temperature (absolute Rankin or Kelvin)

T_s – standard stack temperature (absolute Rankin or Kelvin)

Velocity at stack top then based on stack exit area based on 20.5 ft diameter.

Combining flues:

1)   Emission rate: The emission rate for Unit 3 and Unit 4 were summed.

2)   Temperature: The combined temperature for Units 3 and 4 was calculated from the weighted average of the (Unit 3 temperature * Unit 3 velocity) + (Unit 4 temperature * Unit 4 velocity) / (Unit 3 velocity + Unit 4 velocity)

3)   Velocity: The combined velocity for Units 3 and 4 was calculated from the sum of the Unit 3 and 4 velocities * (pi * (6.25 (single flue diameter)^2) / (pi * 8.84 (equivalent dual flue diameter)^2)

Please let me know if you have any questions.

Thanks,

Emily

From: Avey, Lance [mailto:Avey.Lance@epa.gov]
Sent: Friday, December 18, 2015 8:53 AM
To: Wilbur, Emily
Cc: Hawkins, Andy
Subject: Ameren modeling information for Labadie

Hi Emily,

As we continue to evaluate the sets on modeling inputs we have received for Labadie for 1-hr SO2, we are seeing some differences in the modeled inputs, like the exit velocities used. Could you supply the calculation methodology for the exit velocities for the Ameren values and have them include all hourly parameters that were used in their calculation?

Thanks much,

Lance

Lance Avey

EPA Region 7

11201 Renner Boulevard

Lenexa, Kansas 66219

(913) 551-7809

avey.lance@epa.gov